

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Application of GREAT OAKS WATER COMPANY (U 162-W) for an order authorizing it to increase rates for water service for its total service area by \$2,811,000 or 35.5% in the year 2003; by \$978,000 or 9.0% in the year 2004; and by \$978,000 or 9% in the year 2005; and by \$978,000 or 9.0% for the year 2006.

Application No. 02-11-048

**RESPONSE OF THE OFFICE OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES  
TO GREAT OAKS WATER COMPANY'S SUPPLEMENTAL  
EXHIBIT NO. 31 REGARDING COUNTY PARK PROJECT**

Pursuant to Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Janice Grau's Ruling of April 2, 2004, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) files this Response to Great Oaks Water Company's (Company) supplemental Exhibit No. 31.

ORA does not object to the evidence presented in Supplemental Exhibit 31. Upon additional review of the evidence in support of the County Park Project in Exhibit 30 and 31, ORA finds the project reasonable and recommends that this project be authorized by the Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ CHARLYN HOOK

---

CHARLYN HOOK

Attorney for the Office of Ratepayer  
Advocates

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES  
COMMISSION  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Telephone: (415) 703-3050  
Facsimile: (415) 703-2262  
Email: chh@cpuc.ca.gov

April 14, 2004

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day served a copy of the foregoing document  
**“RESPONSE OF THE OFFICE OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES  
TO GREAT OAKS WATER COMPANY’S SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBIT NO. 31  
REGARDING COUNTY PARK PROJECT” in A.02-11-048.**

A copy was served as follows:

[x] **BY E-MAIL:** I sent a true copy via e-mail to all known parties of record who have provided e-mail addresses.

[x] **BY MAIL:** I sent a true copy via first-class mail to all known parties of record.

Executed in San Francisco, California, on the **14th** day of **April, 2004.**

/s/ JACQUELINE GORZUCH

---

Jacqueline Gorzuch